



NICHOLAS DEPIRRO

Course: ART 170

Campus: COL College: ART

Spring 2000

Call Number 01698

## Student Evaluation of Instruction Report

Response rate\* : 75.0% c 20 students who paid fees by the 14th day of the quarter.

Date of Report 1/17/2002

Response scale is Likert-type with "5" being high and "1" being low.

	<u>N</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>N/A</u>
1. Well organized	15	0 %	7 %	7 %	40 %	47 %	0 %
2. Intellectually stimulating	15	0	0	13	53	33	0
3. Instructor interested in teaching	15	0	0	7	27	67	0
4. Encouraged independent thinking	15	0	0	7	27	67	0
5. Instructor well prepared	15	7	0	13	13	67	0
6. Instructor interested in helping students	15	0	0	0	20	80	0
7. Learned greatly from instructor	15	7	0	0	47	47	0
8. Created learning atmosphere	15	0	0	0	33	67	0
9. Communicated subject matter clearly	15	0	0	20	20	60	0
10. Overall rating	14	0	0	7	29	64	0


Your ratings are summarized below. When sufficient data exist, summaries are also provided for up to three reference groups. Your "comparison group" is based on the size of your class and the predominant reason students indicate they enrolled. Comparison group data are reported at both the college and university levels. Over the preceding 4 quarters, **168** instructors and **339** course sections were in your Comparison Group by College, and **3028** instructors and **6859** course sections were in your Comparison Group by University. Across all courses using the SEI instrument since 1994, **42.44%** of them share the characteristics listed below. The Course-Offering Unit listing is not based on size or electivity; it is a summary of the SEI data across the previous four quarters in your department or school.

**Your comparison groups have the following qualities:** Class size 20 to 60;

Predominant reason given for enrolling in this course was that it was specifically required in the student's major/minor or that it fulfills a GEC/BER requirement.

	This Instructor		Comparison Group by College		Comparison Group by University		Course-Offering Unit	
	<u>Mean</u>	<u>Std.Dev</u>	<u>Mean</u>	<u>Std.Dev</u>	<u>Mean</u>	<u>Std.Dev</u>	<u>Mean</u>	<u>Std.Dev</u>
1. Instructor well organized	4.3	0.9	4.2	0.5	4.2	0.5	4.3	0.5
2. Intellectually stimulating	4.2	0.7	4.0	0.6	4.0	0.5	4.3	0.5
3. Instructor interested in teaching	4.6	0.6	4.5	0.5	4.4	0.5	4.5	0.5
4. Encouraged independent thinking	4.6	0.6	4.3	0.5	4.3	0.5	4.4	0.5
5. Instructor well prepared	4.3	1.2	4.3	0.5	4.3	0.5	4.4	0.6
6. Instructor interested in helping students	4.8	0.4	4.4	0.5	4.4	0.5	4.5	0.5
7. Learned greatly from instructor	4.3	1.0	4.0	0.6	4.0	0.6	4.2	0.6
8. Created learning atmosphere	4.7	0.5	4.2	0.5	4.2	0.5	4.3	0.5
9. Communicated subject matter clearly	4.4	0.8	4.1	0.6	4.1	0.6	4.2	0.6
10. Overall rating	4.6	0.6	4.3	0.6	4.2	0.5	4.4	0.6

### Comparison Group by University Distribution of Mean Scores on Overall Rating (Item 10)



Group mean on Overall Rating = 4.2



NICHOLAS DEPIRRO  
 Course: ART 278  
 Campus: COL College: ART

Spring 2001  
 Call Number 01762

## Student Evaluation of Instruction Report

Response rate\* : 71.4% c 21 students who paid fees by the 14th day of the quarter.

Date of Report 1/17/2002

Response scale is Likert-type with "5" being high and "1" being low.

	<u>N</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>N/A</u>
1. Well organized	15	7 %	13 %	7 %	27 %	47 %	0 %
2. Intellectually stimulating	15	7	0	0	40	47	7
3. Instructor interested in teaching	15	7	0	0	40	53	0
4. Encouraged independent thinking	15	7	0	7	27	60	0
5. Instructor well prepared	15	7	0	0	33	60	0
6. Instructor interested in helping students	15	7	0	0	27	67	0
7. Learned greatly from instructor	15	7	0	7	47	40	0
8. Created learning atmosphere	15	7	0	7	47	40	0
9. Communicated subject matter clearly	15	7	0	7	33	53	0
10. Overall rating	15	0	0	0	40	60	


Your ratings are summarized below. When sufficient data exist, summaries are also provided for up to three reference groups. Your "comparison group" is based on the size of your class and the predominant reason students indicate they enrolled. Comparison group data are reported at both the college and university levels. Over the preceding 4 quarters, **233** instructors and **492** course sections were in your Comparison Group by College, and **3275** instructors and **7519** course sections were in your Comparison Group by University. Across all courses using the SEI instrument since 1994, **42.95%** of them share the characteristics listed below. The Course-Offering Unit listing is not based on size or electivity; it is a summary of the SEI data across the previous four quarters in your department or school.

**Your comparison groups have the following qualities:** Class size 20 to 60;

Predominant reason given for enrolling in this course was that it was specifically required in the student's major/minor or that it fulfills a GEC/BER requirement.

	This Instructor		Comparison Group by College		Comparison Group by University		Course-Offering Unit	
	<u>Mean</u>	<u>Std.Dev</u>	<u>Mean</u>	<u>Std.Dev</u>	<u>Mean</u>	<u>Std.Dev</u>	<u>Mean</u>	<u>Std.Dev</u>
1. Instructor well organized	3.9	1.3	4.3	0.5	4.2	0.5	4.2	0.6
2. Intellectually stimulating	4.3	1.1	4.1	0.5	4.0	0.5	4.3	0.5
3. Instructor interested in teaching	4.3	1.0	4.6	0.4	4.4	0.5	4.5	0.5
4. Encouraged independent thinking	4.3	1.1	4.4	0.4	4.3	0.4	4.5	0.5
5. Instructor well prepared	4.4	1.1	4.4	0.5	4.3	0.5	4.3	0.6
6. Instructor interested in helping students	4.5	1.1	4.4	0.4	4.4	0.5	4.5	0.5
7. Learned greatly from instructor	4.1	1.1	4.1	0.6	4.0	0.6	4.2	0.6
8. Created learning atmosphere	4.1	1.1	4.3	0.5	4.2	0.5	4.2	0.6
9. Communicated subject matter clearly	4.3	1.1	4.3	0.5	4.1	0.7	4.2	0.6
10. Overall rating	4.6	0.5	4.3	0.5	4.2	0.6	4.4	0.5

### Comparison Group by University Distribution of Mean Scores on Overall Rating (Item 10)



Group mean on Overall Rating = 4.2



NICHOLAS DEPIRRO  
 Course: ART 182  
 Campus: COL College: ART

Winter 2001  
 Call Number 01790

## Student Evaluation of Instruction Report

Response rate\* : 93.3% c 15 students who paid fees by the 14th day of the quarter.

Date of Report 1/17/2002

Response scale is Likert-type with "5" being high and "1" being low.

	<u>N</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>N/A</u>
1. Well organized	14	7 %	0 %	14 %	50 %	29 %	0 %
2. Intellectually stimulating	14	7	0	29	36	29	0
3. Instructor interested in teaching	14	0	7	14	29	50	0
4. Encouraged independent thinking	14	0	0	7	29	64	0
5. Instructor well prepared	14	7	0	36	36	21	0
6. Instructor interested in helping students	14	0	0	21	29	50	0
7. Learned greatly from instructor	14	7	7	36	36	14	0
8. Created learning atmosphere	14	7	7	29	29	29	0
9. Communicated subject matter clearly	14	14	0	29	29	29	0
10. Overall rating	13	8	8	0	62	23	


Your ratings are summarized below. When sufficient data exist, summaries are also provided for up to three reference groups. Your "comparison group" is based on the size of your class and the predominant reason students indicate they enrolled. Comparison group data are reported at both the college and university levels. Over the preceding 4 quarters, **276** instructors and **648** course sections were in your Comparison Group by College, and **2320** instructors and **4698** course sections were in your Comparison Group by University. Across all courses using the SEI instrument since 1994, **28.43%** of them share the characteristics listed below. The Course-Offering Unit listing is not based on size or electivity; it is a summary of the SEI data across the previous four quarters in your department or school.

**Your comparison groups have the following qualities:** Class size 5 to 20;

Predominant reason given for enrolling in this course was that it was specifically required in the student's major/minor or that it fulfills a GEC/BER requirement.

	This Instructor		Comparison Group by College		Comparison Group by University		Course-Offering Unit	
	<u>Mean</u>	<u>Std.Dev</u>	<u>Mean</u>	<u>Std.Dev</u>	<u>Mean</u>	<u>Std.Dev</u>	<u>Mean</u>	<u>Std.Dev</u>
1. Instructor well organized	3.9	1.1	4.4	0.5	4.4	0.5	4.2	0.6
2. Intellectually stimulating	3.8	1.1	4.4	0.5	4.3	0.5	4.3	0.5
3. Instructor interested in teaching	4.2	1.0	4.6	0.5	4.6	0.5	4.5	0.5
4. Encouraged independent thinking	4.6	0.6	4.5	0.5	4.5	0.5	4.5	0.5
5. Instructor well prepared	3.6	1.1	4.4	0.6	4.5	0.5	4.3	0.6
6. Instructor interested in helping students	4.3	0.8	4.6	0.5	4.5	0.5	4.5	0.6
7. Learned greatly from instructor	3.4	1.1	4.3	0.6	4.3	0.6	4.2	0.6
8. Created learning atmosphere	3.6	1.2	4.4	0.6	4.4	0.5	4.3	0.6
9. Communicated subject matter clearly	3.6	1.3	4.3	0.6	4.3	0.6	4.2	0.6
10. Overall rating	3.8	1.1	4.5	0.5	4.4	0.5	4.4	0.5

### Comparison Group by University Distribution of Mean Scores on Overall Rating (Item 10)





NICHOLAS DEPIRRO  
 Course: ART 182  
 Campus: COL College: ART

Summer 2000  
 Call Number 16823

## Student Evaluation of Instruction Report

Response rate\* : 90.9% c 11 students who paid fees by the 14th day of the quarter.

Date of Report 1/17/2002

Response scale is Likert-type with "5" being high and "1" being low.

	<u>N</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>N/A</u>
1. Well organized	10	0 %	0 %	20 %	20 %	60 %	0 %
2. Intellectually stimulating	10	10	10	10	20	50	0
3. Instructor interested in teaching	10	10	0	10	0	80	0
4. Encouraged independent thinking	10	10	0	10	10	70	0
5. Instructor well prepared	10	0	0	20	20	60	0
6. Instructor interested in helping students	10	0	10	10	10	70	0
7. Learned greatly from instructor	10	0	30	0	20	50	0
8. Created learning atmosphere	10	0	0	30	10	60	0
9. Communicated subject matter clearly	10	10	0	20	20	50	0
10. Overall rating	10	0	0	20	10	70	0

Your ratings are summarized below. When sufficient data exist, summaries are also provided for up to three reference groups. Your "comparison group" is based on the size of your class and the predominant reason students indicate they enrolled. Comparison group data are reported at both the college and university levels. Over the preceding 4 quarters, **266** instructors and **605** course sections were in your Comparison Group by College, and **2092** instructors and **4323** course sections were in your Comparison Group by University. Across all courses using the SEI instrument since 1994, **28.33%** of them share the characteristics listed below. The Course-Offering Unit listing is not based on size or electivity; it is a summary of the SEI data across the previous four quarters in your department or school.

**Your comparison groups have the following qualities:** Class size 5 to 20;

Predominant reason given for enrolling in this course was that it was specifically required in the student's major/minor or that it fulfills a GEC/BER requirement.

	This Instructor		Comparison Group by College		Comparison Group by University		Course-Offering Unit	
	<u>Mean</u>	<u>Std.Dev</u>	<u>Mean</u>	<u>Std.Dev</u>	<u>Mean</u>	<u>Std.Dev</u>	<u>Mean</u>	<u>Std.Dev</u>
1. Instructor well organized	4.4	0.8	4.4	0.5	4.4	0.5	4.3	0.5
2. Intellectually stimulating	3.9	1.4	4.4	0.5	4.3	0.6	4.3	0.5
3. Instructor interested in teaching	4.4	1.3	4.6	0.5	4.6	0.5	4.5	0.5
4. Encouraged independent thinking	4.3	1.3	4.5	0.5	4.5	0.5	4.4	0.5
5. Instructor well prepared	4.4	0.8	4.5	0.5	4.4	0.5	4.3	0.6
6. Instructor interested in helping students	4.4	1.1	4.6	0.5	4.5	0.5	4.5	0.5
7. Learned greatly from instructor	3.9	1.4	4.3	0.6	4.2	0.6	4.2	0.6
8. Created learning atmosphere	4.3	0.9	4.4	0.5	4.3	0.6	4.3	0.6
9. Communicated subject matter clearly	4.0	1.3	4.4	0.6	4.3	0.6	4.2	0.6
10. Overall rating	4.5	0.8	4.5	0.5	4.4	0.5	4.4	0.6

### Comparison Group by University Distribution of Mean Scores on Overall Rating (Item 10)

